

# **Human Resources Management**

## **One Book**

### **Overview and Summary Information**

#### **All View-1 (AV-1)**



**March 28, 2008**



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 1. All View: Overview and Summary Information (AV-1).....2**
  - 1.1 Architecture Project Identification ..... 2
  - 1.2 Introduction..... 2
  - 1.3 Purpose..... 2
  - 1.4 Scope..... 3
  - 1.5 Key Performance Parameters ..... 5
- 2. Architecture as a Business Improvement Tool .....6**
- 3. HRM Governance and Responsibilities.....6**
- 4. Context of HRM EA .....10**
  - 4.1 Goals ..... 10
- 5. P&R Mission and ARCHITECTURE Vision .....10**
  - 5.1 MISSION ..... 10
  - 5.2 Vision..... 11
- 6. Enterprise Architecture Development.....11**
- 7. Linkages to Other Architectures.....12**
  - 7.1 Relationship with Global Information Grid (GIG)..... 13
  - 7.2 Relationship with DoD Business Enterprise Architecture (BEA) ..... 13
  - 7.3 Relationship with Federal Enterprise Architecture (FEA)..... 13
- 8. Architecture VIEWPOINT and PLAN .....14**
  - 8.1 Common Lexicon..... 15
  - 8.2 Business Analysis..... 16
  - 8.3 Systems Analysis ..... 16
  - 8.4 Investment Priority Management Analysis ..... 17
  - 8.5 Data Management ..... 17
- 9. HRM EA Views and Products.....17**
  - 9.1 Role of All View Products ..... 18
  - 9.2 Role of Operational View Products..... 18
  - 9.3 Role of the Systems View Products ..... 18
  - 9.4 Role of the Technical View Products ..... 18
  - 9.5 HRM EA Products ..... 18
- 10. Custom Products .....20**
  - 10.1 BTA Alignment..... 20
- 11. Tools and File Formats Used .....21**
- 12. Findings and Recommendations .....21**

## LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 8-1 HRM Core Architecture and Supporting Products ..... 15

## LIST OF TABLES

- Table 9-1 HRM Current and Planned DoDAF Products ..... 18



## 1. ALL VIEW: OVERVIEW AND SUMMARY INFORMATION (AV-1)

### 1.1 Architecture Project Identification

**Name:** Human Resources Management Enterprise Architecture (HRM EA)

**Sponsor:** Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness (USD (P&R))

**Developing Organization:** Personnel and Readiness Information Management (P&R IM)

### 1.2 Introduction

The Overview and Summary Information All View 1 (AV-1) document provides a high-level overview of the HRM EA and related work products. The AV-1 defines the purpose, scope, objectives, and architectural approach necessary to build and integrate the HRM Enterprise Architecture. The AV-1 identifies the Key Performance Parameters (KPP), core processes and relationships to other architectures, limitations and constraints. The structure and content of the HRM Enterprise Architecture is based on the DoD Architecture Framework (DoDAF) guidelines.

### 1.3 Purpose

The purpose of the Human Resources Management Enterprise Architecture (EA) is to support the various analytical processes that support HRM transformation, including, but not limited to:

- Continuous Process Improvement,
- Data standardization and data management,
- System certification and Investment Priority Management, and
- IT portfolio analysis.

Additionally, it:

- Provides an “Overarching” Framework that aligns architectures within the HR community to the strategic goals of P&R and the business owners; while allowing for development and enhancement of future HRM programs.
- Serves as a blueprint to improve, re-engineer, and integrate HRM best practices to implement solutions in response to emerging business needs.
- Serves as a common lexicon of operational activities, capabilities, system functionality, and operational roles across DoD.
- Fosters Interoperability among HRM, DoD, Other Federal Agencies and Business Partners.
- Aligns and integrates the HRM Architecture to the Business Enterprise Architecture (BEA).



- Identifies touch points or linkages between Component, HRM, Enterprise, and Federal Architectures.
- Supports BEA Compliance Review.
- Supports HRM IT portfolio analysis.
- Serves as Capstone Architecture for HRM.

#### 1.4 Scope

The USD (P&R), is the functional sponsor for HRM. HRM consists of three Sub-Mission Areas: Civilian HRM, Military Health System (MHS), and Military and Other HRM. HRM includes all the functional areas under the auspices of the USD (P&R) including the following Lines of Business (LoBs):

- **Recruiting and Accessions** – All activities associated with recruiting, identifying, evaluating and selecting a candidate(s) to fill a position or organizational requirement, hiring/accessing, transferring, assigning or placing Department of Defense members and employees against positions (e.g., planning and identifying placement requirements, determining candidate eligibility and suitability, in-processing selected candidate, and accepting individuals into the Department of Defense).
- **Assignment/Placement/Transfer** – All activities associated with assigning, placing against positions (e.g., planning and identifying placement requirements, determining candidate eligibility and suitability, providing placement advisory services, processing placement actions), and/or transferring Department of Defense members and employees.
- **Travel Management** – All activities associated with authorizing and documenting all types of official travel (e.g., initial hire/first duty station travel, temporary duty (TDY) travel, and permanent change of station (PCS) travel). This includes verifying a travel authorization, required documentation, and traveler's eligibility and credentials (e.g., security clearance, passport, visa, foreign area clearance); initiating and finalizing travel requests and authorizations; gathering information necessary to create a travel authorization for individual or group travel; verifying funds availability; arranging travel accommodations (e.g., airlines, rental car, lodging); estimating travel costs; and completing and issuing travel authorizations to the traveler and Accounting to obligate funds.
- **Personnel/Pay Management** – All activities associated with managing Human Resources. Provides support and visibility across the full operational spectrum – during peacetime and war, through mobilization and demobilization, for deployment and redeployment, while assigned in a theater of operation and at home base, capturing and maintaining accurate and timely data. This includes the performance of personnel actions (including, but not limited to, assignments, promotions) necessary to support DoD members and employees, determining eligibility for pay and deductions, executing payroll, certifying and building of a pay file for disbursing, reporting taxes, providing information to support mission planning, personnel and pay oversight and financial reporting.



- **Personnel Development** – All activities associated with enhancing an employee's or member's personal and professional skills including functions that support managing careers, competency development, evaluations, promotions, and recognition programs (e.g., incentives, bonuses, awards).
- **Law Enforcement** – All activities associated with the protection of people, places, and things from criminal activity resulting from non-compliance with U.S. laws. This includes patrols, undercover operations, response to emergency calls, as well as arrests, raids, and seizures of property.
- **Legal Affairs** – All activities associated with resolution facilitation and the administration of adverse actions (judicial and non-judicial). Resolution Facilitation refers to those activities outside a court of law, such as mediation and arbitration that may be used in an attempt to settle a dispute between two or more parties (government agency, citizen, or corporation). Adverse actions can be based upon misconduct, unacceptable performance or both and can lead to legal actions and non-disciplinary actions.
- **Personnel Security** – All activities associated with ensuring members, employees, contractors, and others have been approved to enter Federal buildings, utilize Federal services, and access sensitive information. This includes eligibility determination, badge issuance, clearance tracking, and security verification services.
- **Position Management** – All activities associated with developing, analyzing and implementing position plans, managing strength levels against those plans, and accepting individuals into the Department of Defense. In addition, all activities associated with matching a candidate's qualifications against established criteria, hiring and assigning against authorized/funded positions. All activities associated with integrating force structure requirements into personnel functions enabling proper utilization of Department of Defense human resources through structuring organizations, validating organizations against budgetary constraints, establishing and allocating positions, and managing programs required to support the P&R strategic goals.
- **Military Health Services Management** – All activities associated with providing direction, resources, health care providers, eligibility, enrollment, and other means necessary to promote the health of the Department of Defense TRICARE beneficiary population. This includes developing and promoting health awareness issues to educate customers, discovering and resolving environmentally based health threats, providing health services, including preventive care and problem intervention, and improving the means and methods for maintaining the health of the beneficiary population by constantly evaluating the performance of the health care services system.
- **Quality of Life/MWR Programs** – All activities associated with maintaining or improving personnel's quality of life and individual dignity/rights. This includes managing and administering labor relations; providing for workforce communications; and supporting morale, welfare and recreation (MWR), family support, casualty assistance, and social action programs.



- **InterAgency Support** – All activities associated with responding to policy, procedural and process issues and requirements with outside agencies that have an impact on the benefits, entitlements, and well-being of Human Resources.
- **Retirement/Separation** – All activities associated with discharging, dismissing, retiring and resigning DoD members and employees. In addition, managing the military retiree for recall and their retention/retired pay and military annuitant pay requirements and operations (which contain payments to retirees, annuitants, former spouses, etc.).
- **Benefits Management** – All activities associated with the management of benefits to include indirect compensation, wage supplements, and indirect payments. This includes: medical, dental, life and long-term insurance; pension/retirement; flexible spending; disability benefits; entitlements; benefits eligibility, enrollment and termination; tracking of health care administrators; savings management (Thrift/Bonds); ad hoc benefits reporting.

The HRM EA describes all activities that support DoD personnel and family members (throughout their careers and beyond) and that enable effective management of DoD personnel. Additionally, the HRM EA describes the full operational spectrum – peacetime and war, through mobilization and demobilization, deployment and redeployment, in theaters of operation and at home bases – capturing and maintaining accurate and timely information. Within each of their respective government categories (i.e., uniformed Service member, government civilian employee, or government contractor), data is retained in a single, comprehensive record of service that is available to employees, contractors and Service members as well as senior leadership, combatant commanders, and authorized users throughout DoD and other Federal Agencies.

Strategic planning documents guide HRM EA development. These include the USD (P&R) Strategic Plan (<http://www.defenselink.mil/prhome/stratplan.html>), HRM “One Book” ([https://www.hrm.osd.mil/owa/hrm/pkg\\_hrm.page?id=HRM\\_\\_RC\\_\\_OB&wgsid=](https://www.hrm.osd.mil/owa/hrm/pkg_hrm.page?id=HRM__RC__OB&wgsid=)):

The HRM EA, which is based on Subject Matter Expert (SME) input and analysis, provides detailed and precise business capabilities.

## 1.5 Key Performance Parameters

The HRM EA documents Key Performance Parameters (KPPs) as outlined in the Global Information Grid Capstone Requirements Document (GIG CRD) that are essential for achieving interoperability. The KPPs necessary for interoperability fall within seven fundamental functions (process, store, transport, human-GIG interaction, network management, information dissemination management, and information assurance). The GIG CRD delineates the capabilities that are critical for interoperability for a globally interconnected, end-to-end, interoperable, secured system of systems that will support the National Command Authorities (NCA), Warfighters, DoD personnel, Intelligence Community (IC), policy makers, and non-DoD users at all levels involved in both military and nonmilitary operations. A listing of the KPPs from the GIG CRD can be found in **Appendix A – Key Performance Parameters Listing**.



This table identifies the functional areas, capabilities, and KPP requirements critical to the implementation of the HRM EA.

## 2. ARCHITECTURE AS A BUSINESS IMPROVEMENT TOOL

The overall goal of the Department's transformation initiative is to have reliable, accurate and timely information upon which to make the most effective business decisions. The scope of this initiative encompasses those Defense policies, processes, people, and systems that guide, perform, or support all aspects of business management. The purpose of the HRM EA is to enable necessary improvements to facilitate the future business enterprise. The HRM EA will:

- Provide a structured, common framework for analyzing business capabilities, activities, processes and data.
- Enhance DoD Business Enterprise Clarity.
- Develop horizontal and vertical business improvement focus by optimizing end-to-end processes, not stovepipes.
- Provide business alignment to Warfighter needs, aligning to the mission of the Department, and using this alignment to drive urgency.
- Allow for capabilities, not systems, as deliverables.
- Establish accountability for ensuring the development of architectures and identification of capabilities at the appropriate level - component, HRM, and BMA.

The OUSD (P&R) is responsible for the HRM portion of the BEA and the Investment Priority Management of HRM systems supporting the Sub-Business Mission Areas, Component, and Program levels.

The OUSD (P&R) certifies HRM portfolio investments based on the following governance principles:

- The HRM and the Sub-Business Mission Areas IT systems are aligned with and promote the achievement of DoD strategic goals and objectives.
- The HRM and Sub-Business Mission Areas are aligned with DoD's BEA structure and consistent with the Department's Business Transformation effort.
- The HRM and Sub-Business Mission Areas' Governance will be integrated with Departmental decision-making processes.

## 3. HRM GOVERNANCE AND RESPONSIBILITIES

The HRM Core Business Mission falls under the auspices of USD (P&R). Three sub-core business mission areas comprise HRM: Military Health, Civilian HRM, and Military and Other HRM.



The Director of P&R IM will serve as the HRM Manager. Senior executives/managers from each sub-core business mission area will represent the sub-core business mission areas in the governance structure. The HRM Charter defines the HRM governance and responsibilities.

The P&R IM key stakeholders provide HRM with a set of unique requirements for their architecture. In turn, HRM provides the stakeholders with a solution for federating their architecture to align with the standards for Business Enterprise Architecture (BEA). The following are the key stakeholders for P&R IM:

- Office of the Secretary of Defense
  - o Under Secretary of Defense (Personnel & Readiness)
  - o Principal Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Personnel & Readiness)
  - o Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs)
  - o Assistant Secretary of Defense (Reserve Affairs)
  - o Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Program Integration)
  - o Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Readiness)
  - o Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Military Personnel Policy
  - o Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Civilian Personnel Policy
  - o Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Military Community and Family Policy
  - o Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Equal Opportunity
  - o Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Plans
  - o Program Executive Officer for DoD Human Capital Strategy
  - o Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) and Chief Financial Officer
  - o Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics)
  - o Assistant Secretary of Defense (Networks and Information Integration) DoD Chief Information Officer
  - o Director, Program Analysis & Evaluation
- Joint Staff
  - o Chairman, Joint Chief of Staff
  - o Director of Manpower & Personnel, Joint Staff
  - o Director of Intelligence
  - o Director of Operations
  - o Director of Logistics



- Director of Strategic Plans & Policy
- Director, Command, Control, Communications and Computer Systems, The Joint Staff (J-6)
- Director of Operational Planning & Interoperability
- Director of Force Structure, Resources & Assessments
- Commander, Africa Command
- Commander, Central Command
- Commander, European Command
- Commander, Northern Command
- Command, Pacific Command
- Commander, Special Operations Command
- Commander, Southern Command
- Commander, Strategic Command
- Commander, Transportation Command
- Commander, U.S. Joint Forces Command
- Department of the Army
  - Secretary of the Army
  - Assistant Secretary of the Army (Manpower and Reserve Affairs)
  - Assistant Secretary of the Army (Financial Management and Comptroller)
  - Chief of Staff, Army
  - Army Deputy Chief of Staff/G-1
  - Army Chief Information Officer/G-6
  - Chief, Army Reserve
  - Chief, National Guard Bureau
  - Director, Army National Guard
- Department of the Air Force
  - Secretary of the Air Force
  - Assistant Secretary of the Air Force (Manpower and Reserve Affairs)
  - Assistant Secretary of the Air Force (Financial Management and Comptroller)
  - Chief of Staff, Air Force
  - Deputy Chief of Staff, Personnel, Air Force



- Chief Warfighting Integration and Chief Information Officer
- Chief, Air Force Reserve
- Chief, National Guard Bureau
- Director, Air National Guard
- Department of the Navy
  - Secretary of the Navy
  - Assistant Secretary of the Navy (Manpower and Reserve Affairs)
  - Assistant Secretary of the Navy (Financial Management and Comptroller)
  - Department of the Navy Chief Information Officer
  - Chief of Naval Operations
  - Chief of Naval Personnel
  - Deputy for C4 Integration and Policy/DoN Deputy CIO (Navy) (OPNAV N6F), and Navy CIO
  - Commander, Navy Reserve Forces
- United States Marine Corps
  - Secretary of the Navy
  - Assistant Secretary of the Navy (Manpower and Reserve Affairs)
  - Assistant Secretary of the Navy (Financial Management and Comptroller)
  - Department of the Navy Chief Information Officer
  - Commandant, Marine Corps
  - Deputy Commandant for Manpower and Reserve Affairs, Marine Corps
  - Director for Command, Control, Communications, and Computers (C4), and Chief Information Officer (CIO) for the Marine Corps
  - Commander, Marine Forces Reserve
- Department of Veterans Affairs
  - Secretary of Veterans Affairs
  - Deputy Secretary of Veterans Affairs
  - Under Secretary for Health
  - Under Secretary for Benefits
  - Under Secretary for Memorial Affairs
  - Assistant Secretary for Information and Technology



## 4. CONTEXT OF HRM EA

The HRM EA has been developed to fully support and align to the vision and mission of the USD (P&R), and will embrace the HRM transformation goals, core processes, and relationships with other architectures.

### 4.1 Goals

The major USD (P&R) HRM strategic goals are:

- Integrate the Active and Reserve military, civilian employees, and contractors into a diverse, cohesive Total Force and rapidly tailorable force structure.
- Attract, retain, and motivate a high quality, diverse, and sufficiently sized force to meet mission requirements.
- Provide management systems that support Total Force (military and civilian) planning and personnel visibility.
- Provide appropriate education, training, and development of the Total Force to meet mission requirements.
- Support the readiness of the Total Force for peacetime, contingency, crisis, and warfighting.
- Provide high quality, responsive and accountable health services to ensure force health protection and optimize the health of beneficiaries.
- Support the Warfighter by deploying ready and capable medical forces that effectively use technology to enhance force health protection.
- Use beneficiary needs as the driving force for policy decisions relative to healthcare accessibility, quality, cost effectiveness, and positive health outcomes.

## 5. P&R MISSION AND ARCHITECTURE VISION

### 5.1 MISSION

The USD (P&R) is responsible for the DoD core business mission of HRM. This encompasses all Human Resources-related processes necessary to recruit, train, and prepare personnel to populate warfighter and support organizations. This includes providing trained, healthy, and ready personnel to combatant and combat support organizations and ensuring timely and accurate access to all applicable compensation and benefits for all DoD personnel. The USD (P&R) supports continuous process improvement and certifies all HRM IT systems.

The HRM community supports military members, civilian employees, family members, Warfighters, DoD contractors, decision makers, and the medical, travel, and law enforcement



communities, pursuing initiatives that reflect commitment to all of those who are serving as well as those who have served. The USD (P&R) sponsors the development and fielding of systems and business practices for these customers. These systems and business practices support a diverse, cohesive Total Force and rapidly tailorable force structure, and deliver quality health services and travel management that meet the readiness needs of the Services.

P&R has the responsibility for key initiatives that directly impact and improve personnel management and readiness throughout the Department of Defense. The goal is to improve and transform HRM business practices and information systems to better support the Service members, Department of Defense (DoD) military and civilian employees, the warfighter, and others with a Total Force approach.


HRM is the fusion of accurate human resources information, with respect to numbers, competencies (occupations, skills, education, and training), reception accounting, individual readiness, patient accountability and status reporting, person's unit and location, and assigned duty within organizations. This includes ensuring timely and accurate access to compensation and benefits for DoD personnel and their families and ensuring that Combatant Commanders have access to the timely and accurate data on personnel and their skill sets. Supporting warfighters with the right types of people, in the appropriate quantity, at the right place and time will significantly increase the opportunity for mission success.

### 5.2 Vision

The P&R architecture vision is for the HRM EA to support continuous process improvement and to serve as a blueprint to improve, reengineer, and integrate HRM best practices to implement solutions that result in providing world class support to the Warfighter and other DoD customers while providing savings to the American taxpayer.

## 6. ENTERPRISE ARCHITECTURE DEVELOPMENT

The enterprise architecture development “process” involves a dedicated group of IT planners and technologists called “enterprise architects.” Enterprise architects create an architecture framework that fully describes the HRM business to enable the development or evolution of IT capabilities to better serve the enterprise. These planners work directly with organizational subject matter experts to document the business processes and organizational needs of the enterprise. By translating these business needs and processes into *models*, architects create a critical





communications bridge between subject matter experts and solution providers.

These enterprise-wide models are:

- Comprehensive,
- Rigorously Developed,
- Well-vetted,
- Re-Usable,
- Industry-Standard Notation,
- Human Interpretable, and
- Well Understood.

To best achieve this vision, the Enterprise Architecture documents relevant DoDAF Views in an appropriate level of granularity to enable the enterprise to achieve its transformational goals. This will yield an Enterprise Architecture that facilitates:

- Lower IT costs through more efficient leveraging of capabilities,
- More effective service to the Enterprise,
- Better alignment of systems to processes in order to support business capabilities,
- Better leverage of enabling technologies, and
- Movement toward data-centrism and Net-Centricity.

## 7. LINKAGES TO OTHER ARCHITECTURES

The HRM EA is developed based on the DoD Architecture Framework (DoDAF) Version 1.5. The primary enterprise architectures related to the HRM EA consist of:

- Global Information Grid (GIG) Architecture
- DoD Business Enterprise Architecture (BEA)
- Federal Enterprise Architecture (FEA)
  - Consolidated Reference Model (CRM)
  - Business Reference Model (BRM)
  - Service Component Reference Model (SRM)
  - Technical Reference Model (TRM)
- Component Enterprise Architectures
- Business Transformation Guidance (BTG)
- BEA Architecture Product Guide



- BEA Development Methodology

## 7.1 Relationship with Global Information Grid (GIG)

The Global Information Grid (GIG) supports all DoD, National Security, and related Intelligence Community (IC) missions and functions (strategic, operational, tactical, and business) in war and peace. The GIG includes the globally interconnected, end-to-end set of information capabilities, associated processes, and personnel for collecting, processing, storing, disseminating, and managing information on demand to Warfighters, policy makers, and support personnel. The GIG includes all owned and leased communications and computing systems and services, software (including applications), data, security services, and other associated services necessary to achieve Information Superiority.

The GIG architecture, composed of interrelated operational, systems and technical views, defines the characteristics of and relationships among current and planned GIG assets in support of National Security missions. The GIG architecture, developed in accordance with the standards defined in the DoD Architecture Framework (DoDAF), and using the definitions contained in the GIG reference model, incorporates all major organizational relationships, information flows, enterprise networks, systems configurations and technical standards pertaining to the design, acquisition and operation of the GIG. Initial releases of the GIG architecture have focused mostly in the Theater environment.

## 7.2 Relationship with DoD Business Enterprise Architecture (BEA)

In July 2001, Secretary of Defense established the BTA. The BTA is responsible for integrating the Department's core business missions' EA into a Business Enterprise Architecture (BEA). The BEA will be used in the DoD's business management modernization efforts. The BEA is the blueprint to transform the Department's business operations and leverage systems and technologies to enable this comprehensive change. The BEA is a fully integrated System of Systems (SOS) DoD-wide enterprise architecture. It is compliant with the DoDAF Version 1.5 and consistent with the DoD enterprise GIG architecture.

The purpose of the BEA is to provide a blueprint for DoD business transformation that helps to ensure that the right capabilities, resources and materiel are rapidly delivered to the warfighters: what they need, where they need it, when they need it, anywhere in the world.

The HRM architecture represents the HRM portion of the BEA. It is fully integrated with the BEA through linkages to BEA umbrella activities in the OV-5. HRM architecture extensions provide complete traceability to the atomic standards, capabilities, and enterprise requirements of the enterprise. HRM architecture provides linkages to the Warfighters, Components, and Sub-Mission Areas.

## 7.3 Relationship with Federal Enterprise Architecture (FEA)

The Federal Enterprise Architecture is being developed by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to facilitate efforts to transform the Federal Government to one that is citizen-centered, results-oriented, and market-based.



The FEA is being constructed through a collection of interrelated "reference models" designed to facilitate cross-agency analysis and the identification of duplicative investments, gaps, and opportunities for collaboration within and across Federal Agencies. Collectively, the reference models comprise a framework for describing important elements of the FEA in a common and consistent way. Through the use of this common framework and vocabulary, IT portfolios can be better managed and leveraged across the federal government. The FEA is the cornerstone for the design, development and implementation of information resources government wide.

Linkages from the FEA to the HRM EA are both implicit and explicit. As the HRM EA is being developed the FEA being is considered with respect to the overall framework. The FEA is one of many references used to ensure that the HRM EA is complete, accurate and compatible with other HRM business frameworks. The HRM EA links to the FEA using the same umbrella activities in the OV-5 that are mapped to the BEA. Due to the specifics of the DoD operating environment some of the naming conventions can and do differ. Therefore, linkages to the FEA are explicitly catalogued in the HRM Business Reference Model.

## 8. ARCHITECTURE VIEWPOINT AND PLAN

Architecture viewpoints represent the primary goals, or questions posed to, the architecture. These viewpoints drive the ultimate form of the architecture, including specific DoDAF view requirements, granularity decisions, and notation choices.

As shown in Figure 8-1, the HRM EA includes specific products to support multiple view points: Investment Review, Investment Priority Management, data management, and in part system development. The overall objective is to support interoperability, integration, migration, and information assurance decision requirements with respect to DoD and Cross-Core Business Mission Areas.

These viewpoints support:

- Development of a common lexicon for operational activities, system functions, and operational roles across the HRM EA,
- Business Process analysis to support continuous process improvements within the HRM community,
- System Analysis to support IRB, Acquisition, and other portfolio analyses,
- Long Range IT Transition Planning, and
- Data Management to support Net-Centricity.




Figure 8-1 HRM Core Architecture and Supporting Products

**Figure 8-1: Core Architecture and Supporting Products** depict the logical relationship between the “core” HRM EA products and those products developed specifically to support a particular architectural viewpoint. These core products remain essentially the same even if new or different viewpoints require support. Essentially, the integration built into a DoDAF-architecture means that adding or subtracting architectural viewpoints would not require redoing the core architecture rather it would require the creation of extensions to the core products, and the development of subsidiary products.

### 8.1 Common Lexicon

One of the primary goals of the HRM EA is to establish a common vocabulary for operational activities, system functions, operational nodes, data entities, and other architectural building blocks. Currently, system architectures, when constructed, are based upon non-standard naming conventions, sometimes using the FEA, sometimes using Component conventions, often using whatever the developer decides upon. In the absence of a comprehensive set of common names, it becomes difficult to equate (or map) system architectures against a common enterprise architecture. By following the naming conventions described in the HRM EA, systems and initiatives can be more accurately understood, both by the enterprise and by peers.



## 8.2 Business Analysis

The Business Process Reengineering/Business Process Improvement (BPR/BPI) approach used by P&R IM is consistent with the Continuous Process Improvement (CPI) framework established by the Deputy Secretary of Defense in his May 11, 2006, memorandum, and uses many of the same techniques to identify and address process improvement. Consistent with both frameworks is the requirement to establish the mission, vision, and strategic plan to drive the effort from inception through implementation. Indeed both plans emphasize the connection between having an identifiable mission and strategy and achieving success.

During BPR/BPI efforts, P&R IM commonly engages in:

- Strategic planning;
- Documenting and communicating vision and goals;
- Identifying performance lapses that inhibit the achievement of the vision and goals;
- Developing As-Is and To-Be Enterprise Architecture (EA) products to include Operational, System, and Technical Views;
- Identifying the information requirements needed to support future processes (including net-centric data sharing);
- Data modeling (including data standardization) associated with activity modeling;
- Benchmarking to identify and evaluate leading practices and their application to the Department;
- Developing functional economic analyses;
- Setting performance targets;
- Planning the BPR/BPI implementation;
- Conducting analysis to select, modify, or migrate appropriate systems and software to support BPR/BPI objectives and outcomes; and
- Establishing evaluation methods to assess success relative to the performance targets and inspire a culture of continued improvement.

This BPR/BPI approach will be used support the HRM EA development of both As Is and To Be architectures; it will also be used to identify areas of future architecture development.

## 8.3 Systems Analysis

System Evolution of a structured, architecture-based, IT systems analysis process allows the evaluation of existing (legacy) IT capabilities in a comprehensive manner. Over time, most IT environments have become increasingly complex, stove-piped, and filled with redundant



systems. The implementation of strict management controls is necessary to “rationalize” these complex and duplicative environments.

#### 8.4 Investment Priority Management Analysis

The HRM architecture-based Investment Priority Management process will be able to link the strategic goals and functional needs of the enterprise with the technology that satisfies them. A particular challenge is dealing with an IT environment that currently contains approximately over 1000 different systems (according to the DoD Information Technology Portfolio Repository (DITPR)).

Additionally, the investment priority management processes will be closely aligned with DoD and Federal directives, including the National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) and Management Initiative Decision 918 (MID-918). This includes a governance structure based on common principles for:

- OUSD(P&R) Guidance,
- Stakeholder Participation,
- Collaborative Decisions, and
- Decentralized Execution and Delivery of Information Capabilities and Services.

The HRM Portfolio Analysis approach is based upon a migration planning process that addresses the migration from the existing legacy environment to a managed portfolio of IT capabilities.

#### 8.5 Data Management

The HRM EA will provide critical linkages to HRM net-centric data sharing plans. The EA will provide insight to the capabilities, systems, and data that HRM will use as a foundation to create plans and policy for data sharing in a net-centric environment.

Metadata management will be an integral part of HRM data strategy and management, and will provide the framework identifying, tagging for discovery and sharing appropriate data assets.

Data stewardship will be the responsibility of the Functional Data Working Group (FDWG). The FDWG includes a mix of data producers and data consumers. Members of the group will include representatives from each of the Services and other stakeholders who will manage the development, approval, creation and use of the data. The designated representatives will ensure that the data is properly administered and able to be shared throughout DoD.

Security and privacy policies will be reflected in the Data Management Strategy Plan to ensure these policies are met.

### 9. HRM EA VIEWS AND PRODUCTS

The HRM EA will consist of only those DoDAF products required to support specific analytical processes Table 9-1 **HRM DoDAF Products** shows the specific products currently under development.



9.1 Role of All View Products

All Views (AV) - the All Views consist of an executive summary, global vision, an overview of architecture from an enterprise collaborative view of all products, and a central source for definitions used in the HRM EA products. The Overview and Summary Information (AV-1) and the Integrated Dictionary (AV-2) are both considered essential to the HRM EA.

9.2 Role of Operational View Products

Operational View (OV) - the Operational View products describe HRM business processes, tasks and activities, operational elements, and information exchanges and flows required to accomplish the P&R mission. The OV products describe the nodes and activities of the HRM business processes as well as the information exchanges among nodes.

9.3 Role of the Systems View Products

Systems View (SV) – the Systems View products describe and graphically portray HRM systems, system interfaces, and information exchanges supporting the HRM. The SV products provide a transformational perspective depicting a baseline of the functional information requirements.

9.4 Role of the Technical View Products

Technical View (TV) – the Technical View products describe the minimal set of rules governing the arrangement, interaction, and interdependence of HRM system parts or elements, whose purpose is to ensure that a conformant system satisfies a specified set of requirements. The TV specifies systems data format, interfaces and protocols used to build the systems architecture. The Technical Architecture includes DoD, HRM and industry standards, policies, and guidance.

9.5 HRM EA Products

The following 16 architectural products have been maintained, updated or developed and validated for the current version of the Human Resources Management Architecture:

Table 9-1 HRM Current and Planned DoDAF Products

\*Future Products

Table with 3 columns: VIEW, VIEW NAME, and DESCRIPTION. It lists two operational views: OV-1 (High-Level Operational Concept) and OV-2 (Operational Node Connectivity Description).



## Overview and Summary Information All View-1 (AV-1)

VIEW	VIEW NAME	DESCRIPTION
OV-3	Operational Information Exchange Matrix	The HRM OV-3 details the interactions illustrated in the HRM OV-2. The OV-3 includes characteristics of the information exchange such as the description, the source and destination node, and the source and destination operational activity.
OV-5	Operational Activity Model – Node Tree	The HRM OV-5 describes the activities that are performed to support HRM business capabilities.
OV-5	Operational Activity Model – IDEF0	The HRM OV-5 IDEF0 describes capabilities, operational activities (or tasks), Input/Output (I/O) flows between activities, and I/O flows to/from activities that are outside the scope of the architecture.
OV-5	Look Ahead Node Tree	The HRM OV-5 Look Ahead Node Tree is developed to provide a look at areas of the model that are being considered for decomposition in the future. Priority changes and time constraints may and will effect which areas are actually developed.
OV-6a	Operational Rules	The HRM OV-6a outlines the high-level DoD laws and regulations that apply constraints to the HRM architecture.
OV-6c	Business Process Model	The HRM OV-6c describes the processes that are performed to support a specific HRM business area. Note: HRM used the business process modeling notation (BPMN) in developing the OV-6c to better align with BTA.
OV-7	Logical Data Model	The HRM OV-7 depicts a set of HRM data entities and their relationship, including their key attributes. It also includes data entities from other business areas as they relate to HR.
SV-1	Systems Interface Description	The SV-1 has been aligned with the OV-2 by placing Line of Business nodes (which contain systems) within Family of System nodes (which support operational roles on the OV-2). System data exchanges between families of systems have been added to reflect information exchanges on the OV-2. Additionally, the SV-1 has been color-coded by DoD Component for improved visibility of system ownership.
SV-4a	Systems Functionality Diagram	The HRM SV-4a illustrates decomposition of system functions (notional) which support the lines of business, and capabilities.
*SV-4b	Services Functionality Description	The HRM SV-4b documents service functionality that is exposed to the Net-Centric Environment, their respective grouping into service families, and their service specifications.
*SV-5a	Operational Activity to System Function Traceability Matrix	The HRM SV-5a is a Matrix which maps Operational Activities and System Functions within HRM.



VIEW	VIEW NAME	DESCRIPTION
*SV-5b	Operational Activity to Systems Traceability Matrix	The HRM SV-5b extends the SV-5a and depicts the mapping of capabilities to operational activities, operational activities to system functions, system functions to systems, and thus relates the capabilities to the systems that support them.
*SV-5c	Operational Activity to Service Traceability Matrix	The HRM SV-5c depicts the traceability and mapping of services to operational activities to assist in understanding which services support operational activities.
SV-6	System Information Exchange Matrix	The HRM SV-6 identifies the system data exchanges between systems captured in the HRM SV-1.
SV-8	System Evolution	The HRM SV-8 diagram uses the DIMRHS systems and the enterprise systems.
TV-1	Technical Standards Profile	The HRM TV-1 includes the technical standards necessary for interfacing with HRM's four enterprise systems--DCPDS, DIMHRS, DTS, and AHLTA.
AV-1	Executive Overview and Summary	The HRM AV-1 defines the purpose, scope, objectives, and architectural approach necessary to integrate the HRM Enterprise Architecture. The AV-1 will identify the Key Performance Parameters (KPP), core processes and relationships to other architectures, limitations and constraints.
AV-2	Integrated Dictionary	The HRM AV-2 is a dictionary of terms for each architecture product. In every architectural release, the AV-2 is updated to include added, deleted, or changed names and definitions for all objects in the encyclopedia.

## 10. CUSTOM PRODUCTS

### 10.1 BTA Alignment

The HRM OV-5 is an extension of the BEA OV-5. The HRM OV-5 contains additional activities not reflected in the BTA. The activities not captured in BTA are color-coded in the HRM OV-5 Node Tree and IDEF0 models.

The BEA OV-2 represents HRM as an operational node called "HRM". The HRM OV-2 decomposes this operational node into several operational nodes needed to support the HRM OV-5 lines of business. These main operational nodes are further decomposed into subnodes to support specific business areas.

The HRM OV-5 IDEF0 models do not show all the systems shown in the HRM SV-1. HRM followed BTA's methodology to only reflect enterprise systems as mechanisms in the OV-5 IDEF0 model.



## 11. TOOLS AND FILE FORMATS USED

### Operational View and All View Products:

- Telelogic System Architect<sup>®</sup> for BEA Products
- Rational<sup>®</sup> Suite Analyst
- Microsoft Office Suite (Word, Excel, Access, PowerPoint).

### Systems View Products:

- AllFusion Erwin Data Modeler
- Telelogic System Architect<sup>®</sup> for BEA Products
- Rational<sup>®</sup> Suite Analyst
- Microsoft Office Suite (Word, Excel, Access, PowerPoint)
- Microsoft Visio Using Visual Basic For Applications (VBA) Scripting.

### Technical View Products:

- Telelogic System Architect<sup>®</sup> for BEA Products
- Microsoft Office Suite (Word, Excel, Access, PowerPoint).

## 12. FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Findings and recommendations are developed based on the architecture efforts captured in each release. Please refer to the release specific AV-1 for further details.



**Appendix A – Key Performance Parameters Listing**

FUNCTIONAL AREA	CAPABILITY	REQUIREMENT
Interoperability	Satisfy Critical Information Exchange Requirements (IER) Attributes	Systems shall satisfy all critical IER attributes to the threshold level (Threshold, KPP) and satisfy all IER attributes to the objective level (Objective, KPP).
Store	Data Interoperability	All of a system’s data that will be exchanged, or has the potential to be exchanged, shall be tagged in accordance with the JTA standard for tagged data items (e.g., Extensible Markup Language [XML], the current JTA standard), and tags shall be registered in accordance with the DoD XML Registry and Clearinghouse policy and implementation plan (Threshold, KPP).
Transport	Quality of Service	Transport systems shall provide Quality of Service (QoS) capabilities that ensure that information identified as priority is delivered ahead of regular traffic 99% of the time (Threshold, KPP) and 99.9% of the time (Objective, KPP).
	Information Integrity	Systems shall maintain and guarantee during transport the integrity of all information elements exchanged throughout the GIG to enable user confidence; information integrity shall be 99.99 % (Threshold, KPP) and 99.999 % (Objective, KPP).
	Transport Element Status	All transport elements (e.g., switches, routers, etc.) shall be capable of providing status changes to network management devices by means of an automated capability in near real time 99% (Threshold, KPP) and 99.9% (Objective, KPP) of the time.
	Secure Voice Interoperability	Strategic and tactical secure voice systems shall be interoperable, with a 99% (Threshold, KPP) and 99.9% (Objective, KPP) call throughput success rate.
Network Management	Network Status	Systems shall have an automated NM capability to obtain status of networks and associated assets in near real time 99% (Threshold, KPP) and 99.9% (Objective, KPP) of the time.
IDM	Search Driven Information	Systems shall have an IDM capability to acquire needed information by search queries, with successful searches yielding 85% of available, needed information based on the user query and with no more than 20% being irrelevant/unusable (waste) or failed searches (Threshold, KPP); and yielding 95% of available, needed information and no more than 10% being irrelevant/unusable (waste) or failed searches (Objective, KPP).



## Overview and Summary Information All View-1 (AV-1)

FUNCTIONAL AREA	CAPABILITY	REQUIREMENT
	Survival Information Dissemination	Systems shall have an IDM capability that, utilizing a standard schema, IAW the commanders' dissemination policies and user profiles, will support the means for prioritization of information flows within a theater, using theater apportioned resources, and enable dissemination of survival information (limiting survival information to less than 12 kb) within the time frames of the matrix portrayed in Figure 5, 95% of the time (Threshold, KPP) and 0.5 seconds 95% of the time (Objective, KPP).
Information Assurance	Authentication/ Confidentiality/ Non-repudiation	Systems shall meet and maintain minimum IA Defense in Depth standards, including certification and accreditation IAW the DITSCAP process (e.g., CJCSI 6510.01C (May 1, 2001), DoDI 5200.40 (December 30, 1997)) (Threshold, KPP).